

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,371	03/26/2004	Jae-ryong Park	1572.1197	7626
21171	7590 12/01/2006	EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W
ν^{-}

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/809,371	PARK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oc 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Ex 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 and 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the description Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner) \square accepted or b) \square objected to rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3, 6, 8/04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-5 and 11-16 in the reply filed on 10 October 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the non-elected invention is so closely related that they should remain in the same application and that no undue burden would be placed upon the Examiner when examining both. This is not found persuasive because it is the position of the office to provide for only one invention per patent. It is clear that the inventions are patentably distinct.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-57012 (Lee) or WO 92/10100 in view of Maeda et al.

There is disclosed in Lee a bread making device, comprising: a main body forming an oven compartment; upper and lower kneading drums 11, 13 spaced apart from each other inside the oven compartment, each drum having a holding element for holding opposite ends of a mixing bag 7 filled with dough; a drum driver; a rotation sensor 30, 41, 50, 61, including a disk and disk sensor; and a controller 70 for

controlling the drum driver to unwind and wind the mixing bag from the upper and lower kneading drums.

There is disclosed in WO 92/10100 a bread making device, comprising: a main body forming an oven compartment 212; upper and lower kneading drums 230, 232 spaced apart from each other inside the oven compartment, each drum having a holding element for holding opposite ends of a mixing bag 100 filled with dough; a drum driver; a rotation sensing part 270; a controller (programmable microprocessor) for controlling the drum driver to unwind and wind the mixing bag from the upper and lower kneading drums.

Maeda, discloses that it is known in the art to provide a stop (cancel) button in association with a control unit for a bread making device.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Lee or W)/92/10100 with the stop button provided in Maeda, in order to allow stoppage of the device at the demand of the user for various reason.

In regards to the control of the device and the operational steps involved therein, it should be noted that the programmable nature of the controller would allow one skilled in the art to operate the device as deemed necessary. The operational steps of the device are not structurally limiting. The structural limitations of the claims have been met by the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rla

20 November 2006

Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761